

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	IO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/673,063		01/04/2001	Gebhard Michenfelder	10191/1583	9602
26646	7590	05/10/2004		EXAMINER	
KENYO	N & KEN	NYON	STAFIRA, MICHAEL PATRICK		
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW Tolde, IVI		11 10001		2877	
				DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	09/673,063	MICHENFELDER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael P. Stafira	2877					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cov r sheet with the d	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 20-66 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 20-43 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 44-65 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 44-65 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 44-48,51-53,61,64,65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Teder ('303).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 44-48, 51-53, 61, 64, 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teder ('303) in view of Hochstein ('996).

Claim 44

Teder ('303) discloses a housing (Fig. 3, Ref. 28) including a light conducting element (Fig. 2, Ref. 24) joined to the housing (Col. 6, lines 24-28); and a plurality of optical and electronic components mounted in the housing including at least one transmitter (Fig. 3, Ref. 56) for transmitting an electromagnetic wave and at least one receiver (Fig. 3, Ref. 58) for receiving the electromagnetic wave, the measuring distance influencing a wave propagation between the at least one transmitter and the at least one receiver such that when a coating forms on the windshield, an output signal sensed by the at least one receiver in changed (Col. 7, lines 38-42; Col. 9, lines 12-19).

Teder ('303) substantially teaches the claimed invention except that it does not show a least one ambient light sensor that is sensitive to visible light. Hochstein ('996) shows that it is known to provide at least one ambient light sensor (Fig. 1, Ref. 22) that is visible to light (Col. 3, lines 3-11) for a rain sensor mounted to a windshield. It would have been obvious to combine the device of Teder ('303) with the ambient sensor of Hochstein ('996) for the purpose of providing which allows the sensor to compensate for changes in the ambient light, therefore allowing the sensor to be more accurate.

Claim 45

The reference of Teder ('303) further discloses that the rain sensor is used in a motor vehicle (Col. 6, lines 31-34).

Claim 46

Teder ('303) further discloses the coating is a result of wetting by precipitation (Col. 9, lines 12-19).

Art Unit: 2877

Claim 47

The reference of Teder ('303) further discloses the light conducting element (Fig. 2, Ref. 24) forms a base plate (Fig. 2, Ref. 42) of the housing (Fig. 3, Ref. 28) and includes a broad area of connection with the windshield (Fig. 3, Ref. 18) (Col. 6, lines 34-41, 52-57).

Claim 48

Teder ('303) further discloses a common printed board (Fig. 3, Ref. 26) on which is mounted the plurality of optical (Fig. 3, Ref. 56, 58) and electronic (Fig. 3, Ref. 80A, 80B, 80C) components in accordance with SMD technology (Col. 7, lines 34-38).

Claim 51

The reference of Teder ('303) further discloses that the rain sensor is cemented (Col. 6, lines 40-41) to an inside of the windshield (Col. 6, lines 29-45).

Claim 52

Teder ('303) further discloses a transparent film (Fig. 2, Ref. 36) that is self-adhesive on each side thereof and corresponds to a connection between the windshield and the light conducting element (Col. 6, lines 40-45).

Claim 53

The reference of Teder ('303) further discloses that the output signal is provided to a downstream analysis circuit (Fig. 3, Ref. 80A, 80B, 80C, 80D) and includes information with respect to an instantaneous degree of wetting of the windshield (Col. 8, lines 35-51; Col. 9, lines 12-18) and the housing is a rectangular-shaped senor housing (See Fig. 3).

Claim 61

Teder ('303) further discloses that the light conducting element includes optical areas formed from transparent plastic for at least one receiver (Col. 6, lines 46-54).

Claim 64

The reference of Teder ('303) further discloses the light conducting element (Fig. 2, Ref. 24) includes integrated lens structures (Fig. 2, Ref. 38, 40) for light bundling (Col. 6, lines 52-64).

Claim 65

The reference of Teder ('303) further discloses the light conducting element (Fig. 2, Ref. 24) forms a cover of the housing (See Fig. 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 49, 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teder ('303) in view of Hochstein ('996) as applied to claim 48 above, and further in view of Zettler et al. ('245).

Claim 49

Teder ('303) in combination with Hochstein ('996) substantially teaches the claimed invention except that it does not show an integrated connector for an electrical connection to a downstream analysis unit. Zettler et al. ('245) shows that it is known to provide an integrated connector (Fig. 3, Ref. 46) for an electrical connection to a downstream analysis unit (Col. 3, lines 5-13) for a remote connection sensor. It would have been obvious to combine the device of Teder ('303) in combination with Hochstein ('996) with the integrated connector of Zettler et al. ('245) for the purpose of providing signals to turn-on a wiper assembly when moisture is detected on the windshield. It is obvious to one skilled in the art to know that the motor assembly

Art Unit: 2877

of Zettler et al. ('245) would have some sort of analysis unit to determine when to turn-on or turn-off the motor assembly, therefore the reference of Zettler et al. ('245) reads on applicants claim.

The reference of Teder ('303) further discloses that the housing (Fig. 3, Ref. 28) corresponds to a rectangular-shaped sensor housing (See Figure 3).

Claim 50

Teder ('303) in combination with Hochstein ('996) substantially teaches the claimed invention except that it does not show contact pins through which the printed circuit board is connected to the integrated connector. Zettler et al. ('245) shows that it is known to provide contact pins (See Fig. 3) through which the printed circuit board (Fig. 3, Ref. 42) is connected to the integrated connector (Fig. 3, Ref. 46) (See Fig. 3) for an external connection to a sensor. It would have been obvious to combine the device of Teder ('303) in combination with Hochstein ('996) with the contact pins of Zettler et al. ('245) for the purpose of providing signals to turn-on a wiper assembly when moisture is detected on the windshield.

7. Claim 54 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teder ('303) in combination with Hochstein ('996).

Claim 54

Teder ('303) in combination with Hochstein ('996) substantially teaches the claimed invention except that it does not show at least one of a windshield wiper mechanism and a vehicle lighting system is activated as a function of the output signal. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the device of Teder ('303) in combination with Hochstein ('996) with the windshield wiper mechanism and a vehicle lighting system for the purpose of providing compact construction therefore, allowing multiple instructional signals to indicate or instruct a function.

Art Unit: 2877

8. Claims 55, 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teder ('303) in combination with Hochstein ('996) as applied to claim 44 above, and further in view of Watanabe et al. ('613).

Claim 55

Teder ('303) in combination with Hochstein ('996) substantially teaches the claimed invention except that it does not show the one transmitter includes at least one LED. Watanabe et al. ('613) shows that it is known to provide at least one transmitter that includes at least one LED (Fig. 1, Ref. 24; Col. 3, lines 67-68) for an optical rain sensor. It would have been obvious to combine the device of Teder ('303) in combination with Hochstein ('996) with the LED of Watanabe et al. ('613) for the purpose of providing a long lasting reliable light emitting source when used in a harsh environment.

Claim 56

Teder ('303) in combination with Hochstein ('996) substantially teaches the claimed invention except that it does not show a first one of the at least one receiver that detects an optical signal emitted by the at least one LED includes a photodiode. Watanabe et al. ('613) shows that it is known to provide a receiver that detects an optical signal emitted by the LED includes a photodiode (Fig. 1, Ref. 25; Col. 4, lines 3-7) for an optical rain sensor. It would have been obvious to combine the device of Teder ('303) in combination with Hochstein ('996) with the LED and photodiode of Watanabe et al. ('613) for the purpose of providing a long lasting reliable light receiving element when used in harsh environments.

Application/Control Number: 09/673,063

Art Unit: 2877

9. Claim 57 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teder ('303) in combination with Hochstein ('996) as applied to claim 44 above, and further in view of O'Farrell et al ('917).

Page 8

Claim 57

Teder ('303) in combination with Hochstein ('996) and O'Farrell et al. ('917) discloses the claimed invention except for the at least one ambient light sensor includes an aperture angle of approximately 40 degrees inclined upward with an aperture direction in a direction of travel. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to angle the aperture at 40 degrees, since applicant has not disclosed that having the aperture at 40 degrees solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the 25 degree aperture (Col. 7, lines 3-6) disclosed in O'Farrell et al. ('917).

10. Claims 58, 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teder ('303) in combination with Hochstein ('996) and O'Farrell et al. ('917) as applied to claim 44 above, and further in view of Hasch et al. ('669).

Claim 58

Teder ('303) in combination with O'Farrell et al. ('917) and Hochstein ('996) substantially teaches the claimed invention except that it does not show the at least one ambient light sensor is sensitive to ultraviolet light. Hasch et al. ('669) shows that it is known to provide at least one ambient light sensor that is sensitive to ultraviolet light (See Abstract; Col. 4, lines 40-56) for a vehicle moisture sensor. It would have been obvious to combine the device of Teder ('303) in combination with O'Farrell et al. ('917) and Hochstein ('996) with the sensitivity to ultraviolet light of Hasch et al. ('669) for the purpose of providing a sensor system that reacts to subsequent changes in the ambient conditions. It is obvious to one skilled in the art to know that

Application/Control Number: 09/673,063

Art Unit: 2877

the reference of Hasch et al. ('669) is sensitive to ultraviolet light because the optical sensor of Hasch et al. ('669) measures ambient light for a vehicle and therefore would naturally measure sunlight which contains ultraviolet light.

Claim 59

Teder ('303) in combination with O'Farrell et al. ('917) and Hochstein ('996) substantially teaches the claimed invention except that it does not show the ultraviolet light corresponds to sunlight. Hasch et al. ('669) shows that it is known that ultraviolet light corresponds to sunlight (See Abstract; Col. 4, lines 40-56) for a vehicle moisture sensor. It would have been obvious to combine the device of Teder ('303) in combination with O'Farrell et al. ('917) and Hochstein ('996) with the ultraviolet light of Hasch et al. ('669) for the purpose of providing a sensor system that reacts to subsequent changes in the ambient conditions. It is obvious to one skilled in the art to know that the reference of Hasch et al. ('669) measures ambient light from a vehicle optical sensor, therefore it would naturally measure ultraviolet light which corresponds to sunlight.

11. Claims 60,62,63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teder ('303) in combination with Hochstein ('996) as applied to claim 44 above, and further in view of Zettler et al. ('245).

Claim 60

Teder ('303) in combination with Hochstein ('996) substantially teaches the claimed invention except that it does not show when infrared light is used the light conducting element is formed of a black plastic. Zettler et al. ('245) shows that it is known to provide a molded light conducting element (Fig. 3, Ref. 34, 36) that is formed of black plastic when infrared light is used (Col. 2, lines 56-58) for a moisture activated wipe sensor. It would have been obvious to combine the device of Teder ('303) in combination with Hochstein ('996) with the conducting element of Zettler et al. ('245) for the purpose of providing filtered light to a detector so as to

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 09/673,063

Art Unit: 2877

prevent other wavelengths from being sensed. It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to know that the color molded plastic (Col. 2, lines 56-58) of Zettler et al. ('245) which passes infrared light would be a black color so as to block the primary colors of light.

Claim 62

Teder ('303) in combination with Zettler et al. ('245) and Hochstein ('996) disclose the claimed invention except for the light conducting element includes a plastic part formed according to a two-color injection molding process. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Teder ('303) in combination with Zettler et al. ('245) and Hochstein ('996) with the two-color injection molding process since it was well known in the art that lenses or filters are manufactured in a injection mold process according to the type of wavelengths to be filtered because it reduces the amount of optical element in a compact sensor system. A typical injection molded filter would be found on a regular infrared TV remote control, which are typically black.

Claim 63

Teder ('303) in combination with Zettler et al. ('245) and Hochstein ('996) discloses the claimed invention except for the light conducting element is formed by combining two single-color plastics. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Teder ('303) in combination with Zettler et al. ('245) and Hochstein ('996) with the two single-color plastics since it was well known in the art that combining two single-color plastics provides a low cost to manufacture and reduce the amount of space needed in a optical sensor.

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 20-43,66 are allowed over the prior art of record

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P. Stafira whose telephone number is 571-272-2430. The examiner can normally be reached on 4/10 Schedule Mon.-Thurs...

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on 571-272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael P. Staffra Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877

Page 11

April 28, 2004